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A Brief History of ePrescribing
- We’ve come a long way baby!
- Change of focus

Current Challenges and Gaps
- Specialty pharmacy
- Data latency
- Alert Fatigue
- eFormulary data quality

The Future of ePrescribing
ePrescribing: A Brief History
For **20 plus years** the industry has known that ePrescribing was inevitable, yet has struggled with getting it off the ground

- Struggle to finalize standards
- Challenges with availability of broadband
- A plethora of vendors have come and gone (remember ePhysican, iScribe, Pocketscript?)
- Evolution of transaction clearinghouses (Proxymed, Envoy/Emdeon/eRxNetwork, RxHub, SureScripts)
- Issues of critical mass
  - prescribers with no pharmacies and vice versa
Adoption and Utilization

- We’ve been hyper-focused on prescriber adoption and utilization
  - Whatever it took to get the prescriber to use it
  - Remember “Crossing the Chasm”?
  - Reduce keystrokes and clicks, speed rules
    - “Our tool can write prescriptions in just 3 clicks!”
  - Experimentation with business models (eg., “Is free cheap enough?”)
  - Experimentations with devices (Palm, Blackberry, iPhone, Tablets, Laptops)
  - Stand-alone ePrescribing tools helped drive adoption
Government Influence Has Been a Key Driver

1995

HIPAA
Established transaction standards

1995

MMA
Established Medicare Part D, ePrescribing standards and pilots

2000

PQRI
Provides ePrescribing Incentives

2005

Health Care Reform Acts
Establishes centers for outcomes research and healthcare innovation. Mandates MLR threshold and ACO pilots.

2010

MIPPA
Provides ePrescribing Incentives

2015

The ARRA/HITECH era
Establishes incentives for Meaningful Use of EHR, funds Health Information Exchanges and Regional Extension Centers
The Chasm Has Been Crossed!
50% of prescribers\(^1\) will soon be prescribing electronically

**ePrescribers as a Percentage of Total Ambulatory Prescribers**

In December 2010, 34% of the US prescribing electronically\(^2\)

In 2012, 50% of the US to be prescribing electronically\(^3\)

---

1 Defined by Surescripts as ambulatory prescribers less practitioners that are not regular prescribers, e.g., radiologists
2 Surescripts 2011, National Progress Report on E-Prescribing and Interoperable Healthcare
3 Based on Surescripts historical data and Point-of-Care Partners projections
Most ePrescriptions are Generated by EHRs

EHR Prescriptions as a Percentage of Total ePrescribing Volume

We’ve Come a Long Way Baby!  Are We Done?

- **Steady uptake** in both adoption and utilization
  - Critical mass of connected prescribers and pharmacies and plans
  - Growing pool of **experienced users**
  - Accepted standard of care

Are the solutions of today ready for what’s coming?

- Most ePrescribing solutions are built on drug database structures that are over 20 years old

- Many reports of data quality problems

- What about specialty meds?

- Issues of **quality** and **usability** taking on more prominence
ePrescribing: Challenges and Gaps

Specialty Pharmacy in Ambulatory Setting
Huge Growth of Specialty Drugs

- Specialty drugs continue to drive increase in overall drug spend
- Medco reports specialty trend growth of 17.4% in 2010, the fastest pace since 2004\(^1\)
- “Utilization of specialty drugs grew almost 3 times faster than overall utilization”\(^1\)
- However, EMRs do not yet automate the complex process of ordering specialty medications

"I decide what I am going to order, then hand it off to my staff to do the paperwork... If anyone was going to this electronically, it would be me."

Gastroenterologist and Chief Medical Officer, HIT company, regarding ordering HepC meds

\(^1\) Medco Health Solution’s 2011 Drug Trend Report
Ordering is a Paper-Intensive Process
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HEPATITIS B/C Patient Enrollment Form
Ordering and Prescribing Specialty Therapies

- A very complex, bureaucratic process
- Manufacturer may limit distribution channel to specialty pharmacies
- Plans require dispensing by a designated specialty pharmacy
- Most therapies require prior authorization
- Each specialty pharmacy has a unique intake/order form
  - Nonspecialty products may be bundled in
- Drug product delivered to prescriber office, specialty clinic, or patient’s home.
- Typically handled as “orders” rather than “prescriptions”
- Pharma or health plan may sponsor a “Hot Line” or “Hub” to assist with the ordering process
Most specialty pharmacies have the ability to accept electronic SCRIPT transactions
  – Not typically used
  – SCRIPT doesn’t accommodate all necessary data

Electronic prescribing systems do not support the concept of restricting the routing of certain drugs to limited list of pharmacies

Prior Authorizations are not yet automated

Orders are typically documented in the ‘Notes’ section of EMR
  – May or may not be added to “Medications List”
  – May not run through full Drug-Drug Interaction checks

May not appear on the Medication History list since outside the typical prescription flow

Electronic prescribing systems and standards have not evolved to handle the complexities of specialty pharmacy orders.
As specialty trend increases, percentage of drugs that are “ePrescribable” with today’s EMR systems will decrease.

Trend is towards more aggressive management, more control, more red tape:
- Increased formulary tiers
- Step therapies
- Prior authorizations
- Specialty pharmacies

Medication management tools and decision support need to evolve to properly address these requirements.

Transaction standards need to become more robust:
- Accommodate more data elements

*If tools do not evolve, we risk losing the gains for which we have worked so hard!*
ePrescribing: Challenges and Gaps

Data Latency in Electronic Prescribing
Data Latency – A Growing Concern

- Distribution of integrated drug database products is primarily through the ePrescribing or EMR vendor
  - ePrescribing physician chooses the drug from a drug list provided by the compendia vendor

- Various stakeholders are becoming aware of **significant data latency** problems with this model

- The complex, multi-step process of data distribution is fraught with delays
Impacts of Data Latency

**Drug Information**
- Recently launched drug products unavailable to ePrescribers
- Latest alerts and black box warnings unavailable to ePrescribers

**Formularies**
- Inaccurate formularies
- Prescribers don’t trust the data
- Healthplans and pharma not getting the desired impact from carefully constructed formulary positioning

**Pharmacies**
- New pharmacies are opening up every day
- Inconvenient to prescriber & patient if unable to choose desired store
Drug Information Data Flow

Sources
- Manufacturers
  - Pharma Mfgs
- HIT Vendors
  - Web/ASP/SAAS
    - EMR
    - eRx
  - Local Hosted
    - EMR
    - eRx
- Physician Sites
  - Very Large Groups
    - Robust IT Dept
  - Large Groups
    - IT Dept
  - Medium Groups
    - Some IT Support
  - Small Groups
    - Designated Administrator
  - Solo Practitioners
    - MD or clerical person as admin

New Product
- Label
- NDC
- Pricing
- PI

Drug Compendia
- Vendors
  - GOLD STANDARD
  - ELSEVIER
  - FIRSTDATABANK
  - Medi-Span®
  - CERNER MULTUM

New Products & Updates
- Descriptive data
- Clinical data

QA and integration Performed

Combined with other database updates for distribution

Data Provided Directly To Large Sites

Immediate PUSH

Sites must PULL data

The following slides will walk through each step of this flow
Drug Compendia

Sources

Manufacturers

- Pharma Mfgs
  - New Product
    - Label
    - NDC
    - Pricing
    - PI

Drug Compendia

Vendors

- Gold Standard
  - Web/ASP/SAAS
    - EMR
    - eRx
  - QA and integration Performed
    - Descriptive data
    - Clinical data
  - Combined with other database updates for distribution

- First Data Bank
  - Local Hosted
    - EMR
    - eRx
  - Sites must PULL data

- Medi-Span

- Cerner

Physician Sites

- Very Large Groups
  - Robust IT Dept

- Large Groups
  - IT Dept

- Medium Groups
  - Some IT Support

- Small Groups
  - Designated Administrator

- Solo Practitioners
  - MD or clerical person as admin
Compendia vendors monitor government websites and sources for new product info

Highly motivated for timely updating of clinical information and making new product available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timelines for releasing updates to EMR clients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New entity products from receipt of minimum data to release in database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EMR and ePrescribing vendors take their drug compendia updates weekly, monthly or quarterly.

Most conduct their own QA and combine with other data updates (e.g., pharmacies, formularies) then make available to client sites.

Method of delivery to prescriber client sites

- Some **push** the **updates** into prescribers system **behind the scenes**, immediately after QA is done (PUSH)
  - Drugs are then immediately available for prescribing
- Most are posting to a shared site where client staff must take the initiative to **download** and process the update (PULL)
EMR and ePrescribing vendors hold onto the update releases for 2-7 business days before making it available to physician sites.

For vendors that can PUSH the data into prescriber systems, the story ends here as prescribers receive the data immediately.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Shortest</th>
<th>Typical</th>
<th>Longest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receiving updates from compendia</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Weekly/Monthly</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor QA/processing time*</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>5-7 business days</td>
<td>7 business days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Time lapsed from receipt of updated release from compendia to distribution to clients
HIT Vendors: EMRs and ePrescribing Systems

**Sources**
- Manufacturers
  - Pharma Mfgs
  - New Product
    - Label
    - NDC
    - Pricing
    - PI

**Drug Compendia**
- Vendors
  - GOLD STANDARD ELSEVIER
  - FIRSTDATABANK
  - Medi-Span
  - Cerner MULTUM

**HIT Vendors**
- Web/ASP/SAAS
  - EMR
  - eRx
  - QA and integration Performed
  - EMR
  - eRx
  - Local Hosted

**Physician Sites**
- Very Large Groups
  - Robust IT Dept
- Large Groups
  - IT Dept
- Medium Groups
  - Some IT Support
- Small Groups
  - Designated Administrator
- Solo Practitioners
  - MD or clerical person as admin

Data Provided Directly To Large Sites
- Immediate PUSH
- Sites must PULL data

- Combined with other database updates for distribution

New Products & Updates
- Descriptive data
- Clinical data

New Product
- Label
- NDC
- Pricing
- PI

Manufacturers Sources
- FDA
- Pharma Compendia
- HIT Vendors: EMRs and ePrescribing Systems
- HIT Strategy & Management Consultants

26
Most leading HIT vendors require the provider system staff to do something to process and load updates

- The legacy vendors with the most market share are not architected to support PUSH methods
- Someone on site must download the data
- Data files can be very large and include drug info, formulary and pharmacy data files
- HIT vendors are unable to monitor if clients sites are current with processing updates

This is the reason for the most significant delays resulting in out of date drug information
Provider Organizations

Observations

- Most groups have someone in IT who manages updates.
- Small groups have someone in the practice designated to load updates.
- Some groups use an external consultant to manage system updates.
- Prescribers assume their alert data is current, and are generally unaware of latency issues.

Points of Failure or Risks

- Anecdotal evidence that many sites don’t understand the correlation between processing the updates and having new drugs and alerts available.
- Staff turnover in IT support organizations maybe high and impacts quality and frequency of update processing.
- Updates can be time-consuming and cumbersome to process.
- Update processing may not be a high priority.
Timelines for Product Availability in ePrescribing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycles</th>
<th>Month 1</th>
<th>Month 2</th>
<th>Month 3</th>
<th>Month 4</th>
<th>Month 5</th>
<th>Month 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shortest Possible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depicts time for new drug product information to reach physician system via compendia releases
HIT Vendors should be updating data at least on a monthly basis
  – Weekly is ideal

EMR vendors should develop solutions to automate the update process for their clients
  – Takes responsibility off the shoulders of physician practice
  – Most SaaS vendors are easily able to do this
  – Some legacy client/server vendors have done this
  – Client sites should be monitored to assure that updates are occurring in a timely manner

Increase awareness of the data latency problem
  – Motivate practices to keep systems updated
  – Drive the industry to develop better solutions
ePrescribing: Challenges and Gaps

Alert Fatigue
Shotgun approach to drug-drug interactions, dosing and duplicate therapy alerts
- Everybody sees everything
- Limited by a few basic severity parameters
- Even “Severe” categories have far too many alerts
- 89.4% of most severe category are overridden \(^1\)
- Prescribers tend to “blow through” alerts, don’t believe they are relevant

Refinements needed
- Better classification of drug-drug interactions
- Better implementations of the data (e.g., screening for route of administration)
- Customized solutions by provider specialty or practice setting
- Patient context sensitive alerts (e.g., diagnosis, age, lab values)

ePrescribing: Challenges and Gaps

eFormulary Concerns
Formulary is part of the ePrescribing process

- Amoxicillin 250 MG Capsule
- Inrelex 40 MG/4ML Solution
- Percocet 10-325 MG Tablet
- Percocet 2.5-325 MG Tablet
- Viagra 100 MG Tablet

Formulary Alternative: Yes
Formulary compliance is reinforced with messaging

Confirm Prescription Despite Warning

The medication(s) you have prescribed may not be appropriate given this patient's information.

FORMULARY ALERT! This patient's insurance coverage, CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield, excludes the drug you have just prescribed, Aciphex (rabeprazole sodium).

- This drug is non-formulary. Please prescribe an alternative. See Plan Notes for more information.

Drugs in the same categories (Peptic Ulcer - Proton Pump Inhibitors) that have no limitations include:

- Select One-

- Prevacid (lansoprazole) Capsule, Delayed Release (E.C.) 15 mg
- Prevacid (lansoprazole) Capsule, Delayed Release (E.C.) 30 mg
- Prevacid (lansoprazole) Packet 15 mg
- Prevacid (lansoprazole) Packet 30 mg
- Prevacid (lansoprazole) Tablet, Lingual Delayed Release 15 mg
- Prevacid (lansoprazole) Tablet, Lingual Delayed Release 30 mg
- Prilosec (omeprazole magnesium) Tablet, Delayed Release (E.C.) 20 mg
- Protonix (pantoprazole sodium) Tablet, Delayed Release (E.C.) 20 mg
- Protonix (pantoprazole sodium) Tablet, Delayed Release (E.C.) 40 mg
The eFormulary Process is Complex - 
Many hand-offs create potential for errors

- **eFormulary Originators**
  - Pharma Company
  - Health Plan
  - PBM

- **eFormulary Aggregators**
  - Surescripts
  - MediMedia
  - Epocrates

- **eFormulary Users**
  - HIT Vendor
  - Prescriber
  - Pharmacy
  - eFormulary Interpretation Expressions

**Example:** 1 of 2 in preferred brand status, PA acceptable if all PA'd

- Contract established
- Conversion of contract terms into a formulary
- Intermediary interprets and normalizes formulary
- ePrescribing vendor interprets and normalizes formulary
- ePrescriber selects product and sends e-Rx via EDI to pharmacy

*Source: POCP (2010)*
Consequences of Inaccurate eFormularies

- Electronic formulary information is used by prescribers in over 37% of all prescriptions today and is expected to grow to 50% of prescriptions in 2012
  - “We’re about 80% accurate and that is good enough for most physicians.”

- Prescriber **confusion about formulary status** reduces impact of formulary positioning
  - Dr. Smith, “Well, the product is not on formulary.”
  - Payer, “We have placed it on Tier 2.”
  - Dr. Smith, “See, look here. It is a red frowning face.”

- Inaccurate formularies could create situations where **rebate payments** are made when product is incorrectly listed in non-preferred status

- Inaccurate formularies **distort perceived effects** of formulary and coverage restriction
  - As ePrescribing increases, inaccuracies may be magnified
The Future of ePrescribing
Evolution of ePrescribing to eMedication Management

**Assess**
- Evaluate health status and medical problem
- Comprehensive medication review and reconciliation
- Identify medication therapy problems
- Medication therapy guideline best practices
- Medication therapy action plan

**Prescribe**
- Identify formulary
- Identify other insurance requirements, e.g. for step therapy, prior authorization, consults needed
- Formulary compliance
- Write e-prescription
- Drug interaction, allergy and contraindication alerts

**Monitor**
- Track compliance and adherence
- Monitor effectiveness and safety
- Measure health status and outcomes
- Process refills and renewals
- Compliance and adherence problem intervention
- Public health surveillance

**Dispense**
- Check Fill status
- Verify patient pick-up
- Medication self-management support (education, organizing)
PA is an administrative burden for prescribers, pharmacies, patients, and payers

More drugs are expected to be subject to PA as the average cost of new therapies increases (ie specialty)

ePA legislation has appeared in multiple states over the last year

An ePA standard was created by NCPDP by 2009; awaiting pilot testing

ePA pilots are being launched by CVS Caremark, Humana, and others
Currently, CDS is available in limited EMRs using their own proprietary mechanism and leveraging only data that resides within its system.

Create a standardized CDS system that leverages the latest guidelines as well as clinical information across care givers.

This can substantially improve adherence to guidelines within both the inpatient and ambulatory settings.

A robust CDS system can help bring greater transparency behind clinical recommendations to prescribers and disseminate best practices to a wide range of clinicians.
Lab values are becoming more relevant in determining treatment options and monitoring whether they are effective, especially as we move to personalized medicine and pharmacogenomics.

Providing laboratory results data similar to how medication history data is delivered to ePrescribing systems.

Some lab vendors have already established connectivity to ePrescribing networks. This connectivity could be expanded and lab results could be synthesized with prescription history data to provide a more robust set of clinical information for providers.
With more and more drugs being approved by the FDA with REMS requirements, the future of ePrescribing should plan to accommodate the various REMS requirements.

REMS requirements include:
- distribution of medication guides
- enrollment into a tracking program
- lab value monitoring
- other requirements

ePrescribing should be able to accommodate and help oversee that these requirements have been fulfilled.
One-third to one-half of patients do not take their medications as prescribed.

Medication non-adherence costs the health care system $290 billion annually.

Leveraging medication history information more intelligently to provide adherence and persistency rates that can be tracked and incorporated into ePrescribing systems.

Providing fill status notification to providers can help identify first-fill and ongoing compliance issues.
ePrescribing is well on the way to becoming the standard of care.

Gains in patient safety and efficiency are certainly being achieved today.

More hard work is ahead to refine and mature the products to raise the bar for quality and usability:

- Accommodations for specialty drugs
- eFormulary quality
- Data Latency
- Alert Fatigue

ePrescribing is just one component on the spectrum of eMedication Management.
DISCUSSION